

1 Implication

Which of the following implications are always true, regardless of P ? Give a counterexample for each false assertion (i.e. come up with a statement $P(x,y)$ that would make the implication false).

(a) $\forall x\forall yP(x,y) \implies \forall y\forall xP(x,y)$.

(b) $\exists x\exists yP(x,y) \implies \exists y\exists xP(x,y)$.

(c) $\forall x\exists yP(x,y) \implies \exists y\forall xP(x,y)$.

(d) $\exists x\forall yP(x,y) \implies \forall y\exists xP(x,y)$.

2 XOR

The truth table of XOR (denoted by \oplus) is as follows.

A	B	$A \oplus B$
F	F	F
F	T	T
T	F	T
T	T	F

1. Express XOR using only (\wedge, \vee, \neg) and parentheses.

2. Does $(A \oplus B)$ imply $(A \vee B)$? Explain briefly.

3. Does $(A \vee B)$ imply $(A \oplus B)$? Explain briefly.

3 Truth Tables

Determine whether the following equivalences hold, by writing out truth tables. Clearly state whether or not each pair is equivalent.

(a) $P \wedge (Q \vee P) \equiv P \wedge Q$

(b) $(P \vee Q) \wedge R \equiv (P \wedge R) \vee (Q \wedge R)$

(c) $(P \wedge Q) \vee R \equiv (P \vee R) \wedge (Q \vee R)$

4 Converse and Contrapositive

Consider the statement "if a natural number is divisible by 4, it is divisible by 2".

- (a) Write the statement in propositional logic. Prove that it is true or give a counterexample.
- (b) Write the inverse of the implication in English and in propositional logic. Prove that it is true or give a counterexample. (The inverse of an implication $P \implies Q$ is $\neg P \implies \neg Q$.)
- (c) Write the converse of the implication in English and in propositional logic. Prove that it is true or give a counterexample.
- (d) Write the contrapositive of the implication in English and in propositional logic. Prove that it is true or give a counterexample.